

Large N Limit of the $O(N)$ Linear Sigma Model via Stochastic Quantization

Rongchan Zhu

Beijing Institute of Technology

Joint work with Hao Shen, Scott Smith and Xiangchan Zhu

arXiv:2005.09279/arXiv:2102.02628

Table of contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Large N limit of the dynamics
- 3 Invariant measures
- 4 Observables

- Recall the free field in the Euclidean quantum field theory. The usual free field on the torus \mathbb{T}^d is heuristically described by the following probability measure:

$$\nu(d\Phi) = C_N^{-1} \prod_{x \in \mathbb{T}^d} d\Phi(x) \exp \left(- \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (|\nabla\Phi|^2 + m\Phi^2) dx \right),$$

where C_N is the normalization constant and Φ is the real-valued field.

- Recall the free field in the Euclidean quantum field theory. The usual free field on the torus \mathbb{T}^d is heuristically described by the following probability measure:

$$\nu(d\Phi) = C_N^{-1} \prod_{x \in \mathbb{T}^d} d\Phi(x) \exp\left(-\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (|\nabla\Phi|^2 + m\Phi^2) dx\right),$$

where C_N is the normalization constant and Φ is the real-valued field.

- This corresponds to the Gaussian measure $\nu := \mathcal{N}(0, (m - \Delta)^{-1})$ rigorously defined on \mathcal{S}' .

- Recall the free field in the Euclidean quantum field theory. The usual free field on the torus \mathbb{T}^d is heuristically described by the following probability measure:

$$\nu(d\Phi) = C_N^{-1} \prod_{x \in \mathbb{T}^d} d\Phi(x) \exp \left(- \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (|\nabla\Phi|^2 + m\Phi^2) dx \right),$$

where C_N is the normalization constant and Φ is the real-valued field.

- This corresponds to the Gaussian measure $\nu := \mathcal{N}(0, (m - \Delta)^{-1})$ rigorously defined on \mathcal{S}' .
- The free field describes particles which do not interact.

Φ_d^4 field

The Φ_d^4 model is the simplest non-trivial Euclidean quantum field:

$$C_N^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} d\Phi(x) \exp \left(- \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (|\nabla\Phi(x)|^2 + m\Phi^2(x)) \right)$$

Φ_d^4 field

The Φ_d^4 model is the simplest non-trivial Euclidean quantum field:

$$C_N^{-1} \prod_{x \in \mathbb{T}^d} d\Phi(x) \exp \left(- \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \underbrace{(|\nabla\Phi(x)|^2 + m\Phi^2(x) + \Phi^4(x))}_{H} dx \right),$$

where C_N is the normalization constant and Φ is the (real-valued) field. (Glimm, Jaffe, Simon, Feldman, Brydges 60-90s)

Φ_d^4 field

The Φ_d^4 model is the simplest non-trivial Euclidean quantum field:

$$C_N^{-1} \prod_{x \in \mathbb{T}^d} d\Phi(x) \exp \left(- \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \underbrace{(|\nabla\Phi(x)|^2 + m\Phi^2(x) + \Phi^4(x))}_{H} dx \right),$$

where C_N is the normalization constant and Φ is the (real-valued) field. (Glimm, Jaffe, Simon, Feldman, Brydges 60-90s)

Stochastic quantization of Euclidean quantum fields: getting the Φ_d^4 field as stationary distributions (limiting distributions) of stochastic processes, which are solutions to SPDE (see [Parisi, Wu 81], [G. Jona-Lasinio, P. K. Mitter 85], [Albeverio, Röckner 91], [Da Prato, Debussche 03]).

Φ_d^4 field

The Φ_d^4 model is the simplest non-trivial Euclidean quantum field:

$$C_N^{-1} \prod_{x \in \mathbb{T}^d} d\Phi(x) \exp \left(- \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \underbrace{(|\nabla\Phi(x)|^2 + m\Phi^2(x) + \Phi^4(x))}_{H} dx \right),$$

where C_N is the normalization constant and Φ is the (real-valued) field. (Glimm, Jaffe, Simon, Feldman, Brydges 60-90s)

Stochastic quantization of Euclidean quantum fields: getting the Φ_d^4 field as stationary distributions (limiting distributions) of stochastic processes, which are solutions to SPDE (see [Parisi, Wu 81], [G. Jona-Lasinio, P. K. Mitter 85], [Albeverio, Röckner 91], [Da Prato, Debussche 03]).

The stochastic quantization of the Φ_d^4 model:

$$\partial_t \Phi = - \frac{\delta H}{\delta \Phi} + \xi = (\Delta - m)\Phi - : \Phi^3 : + \xi,$$

Here ξ is space-time white noise.

Φ_d^4 field

The Φ_d^4 model is the simplest non-trivial Euclidean quantum field:

$$C_N^{-1} \prod_{x \in \mathbb{T}^d} d\Phi(x) \exp \left(- \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \underbrace{(|\nabla\Phi(x)|^2 + m\Phi^2(x) + \Phi^4(x))}_{H} dx \right),$$

where C_N is the normalization constant and Φ is the (real-valued) field. (Glimm, Jaffe, Simon, Feldman, Brydges 60-90s)

Stochastic quantization of Euclidean quantum fields: getting the Φ_d^4 field as stationary distributions (limiting distributions) of stochastic processes, which are solutions to SPDE (see [Parisi, Wu 81], [G. Jona-Lasinio, P. K. Mitter 85], [Albeverio, Röckner 91], [Da Prato, Debussche 03]).

The stochastic quantization of the Φ_d^4 model:

$$\partial_t \Phi = - \frac{\delta H}{\delta \Phi} + \xi = (\Delta - m)\Phi - : \Phi^3 : + \xi,$$

Here ξ is space-time white noise.

- Regularity structures by [Hairer 14]

Φ_d^4 field

The Φ_d^4 model is the simplest non-trivial Euclidean quantum field:

$$C_N^{-1} \prod_{x \in \mathbb{T}^d} d\Phi(x) \exp \left(- \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \underbrace{(|\nabla\Phi(x)|^2 + m\Phi^2(x) + \Phi^4(x))}_{H} dx \right),$$

where C_N is the normalization constant and Φ is the (real-valued) field. (Glimm, Jaffe, Simon, Feldman, Brydges 60-90s)

Stochastic quantization of Euclidean quantum fields: getting the Φ_d^4 field as stationary distributions (limiting distributions) of stochastic processes, which are solutions to SPDE (see [Parisi, Wu 81], [G. Jona-Lasinio, P. K. Mitter 85], [Albeverio, Röckner 91], [Da Prato, Debussche 03]).

The stochastic quantization of the Φ_d^4 model:

$$\partial_t \Phi = - \frac{\delta H}{\delta \Phi} + \xi = (\Delta - m)\Phi - : \Phi^3 : + \xi,$$

Here ξ is space-time white noise.

- Regularity structures by [Hairer 14]
- Paracontrolled distribution method by [Gubinelli, Imkeller, Perkowski 15]

Φ_d^4 field

The Φ_d^4 model is the simplest non-trivial Euclidean quantum field:

$$C_N^{-1} \prod_{x \in \mathbb{T}^d} d\Phi(x) \exp \left(- \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \underbrace{(|\nabla\Phi(x)|^2 + m\Phi^2(x) + \Phi^4(x))}_{H} dx \right),$$

where C_N is the normalization constant and Φ is the (real-valued) field. (Glimm, Jaffe, Simon, Feldman, Brydges 60-90s)

Stochastic quantization of Euclidean quantum fields: getting the Φ_d^4 field as stationary distributions (limiting distributions) of stochastic processes, which are solutions to SPDE (see [Parisi, Wu 81], [G. Jona-Lasinio, P. K. Mitter 85], [Albeverio, Röckner 91], [Da Prato, Debussche 03]).

The stochastic quantization of the Φ_d^4 model:

$$\partial_t \Phi = - \frac{\delta H}{\delta \Phi} + \xi = (\Delta - m)\Phi - : \Phi^3 : + \xi,$$

Here ξ is space-time white noise.

- Regularity structures by [Hairer 14]
- Paracontrolled distribution method by [Gubinelli, Imkeller, Perkowski 15]
- [Catellier, Chouk 18], [Mourrat, Weber 17], [Gubinelli, Hofmanova 18, 19],...

Φ_d^4 field

The Φ_d^4 model is the simplest non-trivial Euclidean quantum field:

$$C_N^{-1} \prod_{x \in \mathbb{T}^d} d\Phi(x) \exp \left(- \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \underbrace{(|\nabla\Phi(x)|^2 + m\Phi^2(x) + \Phi^4(x))}_{H} dx \right),$$

where C_N is the normalization constant and Φ is the (real-valued) field. (Glimm, Jaffe, Simon, Feldman, Brydges 60-90s)

Stochastic quantization of Euclidean quantum fields: getting the Φ_d^4 field as stationary distributions (limiting distributions) of stochastic processes, which are solutions to SPDE (see [Parisi, Wu 81], [G. Jona-Lasinio, P. K. Mitter 85], [Albeverio, Röckner 91], [Da Prato, Debussche 03]).

The stochastic quantization of the Φ_d^4 model:

$$\partial_t \Phi = - \frac{\delta H}{\delta \Phi} + \xi = (\Delta - m)\Phi - : \Phi^3 : + \xi,$$

Here ξ is space-time white noise.

- Regularity structures by [Hairer 14]
- Paracontrolled distribution method by [Gubinelli, Imkeller, Perkowski 15]
- [Catellier, Chouk 18], [Mourrat, Weber 17], [Gubinelli, Hofmanova 18, 19],...
- Other models

$O(N)$ linear sigma model

$O(N)$ linear sigma model:

$$\nu^N = \frac{1}{C_N} \exp \left(-2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N |\nabla \Phi_j|^2 + \frac{m}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_j^2 + \frac{1}{4N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_j^2 \right)^2 dx \right) \mathcal{D}\Phi,$$

where $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_N)$ is the (vector-valued) field.

$O(N)$ linear sigma model

$O(N)$ linear sigma model:

$$\nu^N = \frac{1}{C_N} \exp \left(-2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N |\nabla \Phi_j|^2 + \frac{m}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_j^2 + \frac{1}{4N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_j^2 \right)^2 dx \right) \mathcal{D}\Phi,$$

where $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_N)$ is the (vector-valued) field.

- Physical results of large N : [Stanley 67, Wilson 73, Gross 74, t'Hooft 74, Witten 80].....

$O(N)$ linear sigma model

$O(N)$ linear sigma model:

$$\nu^N = \frac{1}{C_N} \exp \left(-2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N |\nabla \Phi_j|^2 + \frac{m}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_j^2 + \frac{1}{4N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_j^2 \right)^2 dx \right) \mathcal{D}\Phi,$$

where $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_N)$ is the (vector-valued) field.

- Physical results of large N : [Stanley 67, Wilson 73, Gross 74, t'Hooft 74, Witten 80].....
- Mathematical results of large N : [Kupiainen 80], [Chatterjee 16, 19]

$O(N)$ linear sigma model

$O(N)$ linear sigma model:

$$\nu^N = \frac{1}{C_N} \exp \left(-2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N |\nabla \Phi_j|^2 + \frac{m}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_j^2 + \frac{1}{4N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_j^2 \right)^2 dx \right) \mathcal{D}\Phi,$$

where $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_N)$ is the (vector-valued) field.

- Physical results of large N : [Stanley 67, Wilson 73, Gross 74, t'Hooft 74, Witten 80].....
- Mathematical results of large N : [Kupiainen 80], [Chatterjee 16, 19]

Stochastic quantization on \mathbb{T}^d , $d = 2, 3$:

$$\mathcal{L}\Phi_i = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_j^2 \Phi_i + \xi_i,$$

$\mathcal{L} = \partial_t - \Delta + m$; $(\xi_i)_{i=1}^N$: independent space-time white noises.

$O(N)$ linear sigma model

$O(N)$ linear sigma model:

$$\nu^N = \frac{1}{C_N} \exp \left(-2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N |\nabla \Phi_j|^2 + \frac{m}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_j^2 + \frac{1}{4N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_j^2 \right)^2 dx \right) \mathcal{D}\Phi,$$

where $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_N)$ is the (vector-valued) field.

- Physical results of large N : [Stanley 67, Wilson 73, Gross 74, t'Hooft 74, Witten 80].....
- Mathematical results of large N : [Kupiainen 80], [Chatterjee 16, 19]

Stochastic quantization on \mathbb{T}^d , $d = 2, 3$:

$$\mathcal{L}\Phi_i = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_j^2 \Phi_i + \xi_i,$$

$\mathcal{L} = \partial_t - \Delta + m$; $(\xi_i)_{i=1}^N$: independent space-time white noises.

Questions: Large N limit of the dynamics Φ_i and the field ν^N ?

Stochastic quantization: Da Prato-Debussche trick

- Stochastic quantization: $(\xi_i)_{i=1}^N$: independent space-time white noises

$$\mathcal{L}\Phi_i = (\partial_t - \Delta + m)\Phi_i = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_j^2 \Phi_i + \xi_i,$$

Stochastic quantization: Da Prato-Debussche trick

- Stochastic quantization: $(\xi_i)_{i=1}^N$: independent space-time white noises

$$\mathcal{L}\Phi_i = (\partial_t - \Delta + m)\Phi_i = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_j^2 \Phi_i + \xi_i,$$

- $\xi(t, x)$ is a random Gaussian function with covariance given by
 $\mathbf{E}\xi(t, x)\xi(s, y) = \delta(t - s)\delta(x - y)$

Stochastic quantization: Da Prato-Debussche trick

- Stochastic quantization: $(\xi_i)_{i=1}^N$: independent space-time white noises

$$\mathcal{L}\Phi_i = (\partial_t - \Delta + m)\Phi_i = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_j^2 \Phi_i + \xi_i,$$

- $\xi(t, x)$ is a random Gaussian function with covariance given by

$$\mathbf{E}\xi(t, x)\xi(s, y) = \delta(t - s)\delta(x - y) \Rightarrow \xi \in C^{-d/2-1-}$$

Stochastic quantization: Da Prato-Debussche trick

- Stochastic quantization: $(\xi_i)_{i=1}^N$: independent space-time white noises

$$\mathcal{L}\Phi_i = (\partial_t - \Delta + m)\Phi_i = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_j^2 \Phi_i + \xi_i,$$

- $\xi(t, x)$ is a random Gaussian function with covariance given by

$$\mathbf{E}\xi(t, x)\xi(s, y) = \delta(t - s)\delta(x - y) \Rightarrow \xi \in C^{-d/2-1-}$$

- $(f, g) \rightarrow fg$ is well-defined on $C^\alpha \times C^\beta$ to $C^{\alpha \wedge \beta}$ only if $\alpha + \beta > 0$.

Stochastic quantization: Da Prato-Debussche trick

- Stochastic quantization: $(\xi_i)_{i=1}^N$: independent space-time white noises

$$\mathcal{L}\Phi_i = (\partial_t - \Delta + m)\Phi_i = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_j^2 \Phi_i + \xi_i,$$

- $\xi(t, x)$ is a random Gaussian function with covariance given by

$$\mathbf{E}\xi(t, x)\xi(s, y) = \delta(t - s)\delta(x - y) \Rightarrow \xi \in C^{-d/2-1-}$$

- $(f, g) \rightarrow fg$ is well-defined on $C^\alpha \times C^\beta$ to $C^{\alpha \wedge \beta}$ only if $\alpha + \beta > 0$.
- $\Phi_i \in C^-$ for $d = 2$; $\Phi_i \in C^{-\frac{1}{2}-}$ for $d = 3$

Stochastic quantization: Da Prato-Debussche trick

- Stochastic quantization: $(\xi_i)_{i=1}^N$: independent space-time white noises

$$\mathcal{L}\Phi_i = (\partial_t - \Delta + m)\Phi_i = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_j^2 \Phi_i + \xi_i,$$

- $\xi(t, x)$ is a random Gaussian function with covariance given by

$$\mathbf{E}\xi(t, x)\xi(s, y) = \delta(t - s)\delta(x - y) \Rightarrow \xi \in C^{-d/2-1-}$$

- $(f, g) \rightarrow fg$ is well-defined on $C^\alpha \times C^\beta$ to $C^{\alpha \wedge \beta}$ only if $\alpha + \beta > 0$.
- $\Phi_i \in C^-$ for $d = 2$; $\Phi_i \in C^{-\frac{1}{2}-}$ for $d = 3$
- Decompose $\Phi_i = Y_i + Z_i$ as Da Prato-Debussche trick for $d = 2$

$$\mathcal{L}Z_i = \xi_i,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}Y_i = & -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N (Y_j^2 Y_i + Y_j^2 Z_i + 2Y_j Y_i Z_j \\ & + 2Y_j \underbrace{:Z_i Z_j:}_{\text{Wick product}} + \underbrace{:Z_j^2:}_{\text{Wick product}} Y_i + \underbrace{:Z_i Z_j^2:}_{\text{Wick product}}), \end{aligned}$$

Stochastic quantization: Da Prato-Debussche trick

- Stochastic quantization: $(\xi_i)_{i=1}^N$: independent space-time white noises

$$\mathcal{L}\Phi_i = (\partial_t - \Delta + m)\Phi_i = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_j^2 \Phi_i + \xi_i,$$

- $\xi(t, x)$ is a random Gaussian function with covariance given by

$$\mathbf{E}\xi(t, x)\xi(s, y) = \delta(t - s)\delta(x - y) \Rightarrow \xi \in C^{-d/2-1-}$$

- $(f, g) \rightarrow fg$ is well-defined on $C^\alpha \times C^\beta$ to $C^{\alpha \wedge \beta}$ only if $\alpha + \beta > 0$.
- $\Phi_i \in C^-$ for $d = 2$; $\Phi_i \in C^{-\frac{1}{2}-}$ for $d = 3$
- Decompose $\Phi_i = Y_i + Z_i$ as Da Prato-Debussche trick for $d = 2$

$$\mathcal{L}Z_i = \xi_i,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}Y_i = & -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N (Y_j^2 Y_i + Y_j^2 Z_i + 2Y_j Y_i Z_j \\ & + 2Y_j \underbrace{:Z_i Z_j:}_{\text{Wick product}} + \underbrace{:Z_j^2:}_{\text{Wick product}} Y_i + \underbrace{:Z_i Z_j^2:}_{\text{Wick product}}), \end{aligned}$$

- $Z_i \in C^-, Y_i \in C^{2-}$; Wick product: $:Z_i Z_j := Z_i Z_j - \mathbf{E}Z_i Z_j$.

Difficulty for $d = 3$

- Decompose $\Phi_i = Z_i + Y_i$ as $d = 2$

$$\mathcal{L}Z_i = \xi_i, \quad Z_i \in C^{-\frac{1}{2}-}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}Y_i = & -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N (Y_j^2 Y_i + Y_j^2 Z_i + 2Y_j Y_i Z_j \\ & + 2Y_j \underbrace{:Z_i Z_j:}_{C^{-1-}} + \underbrace{:Z_j^2:}_{C^{-1-}} Y_i + \underbrace{:Z_i Z_j^2:}_{C^{-\frac{3}{2}-}}), \end{aligned}$$

Difficulty for $d = 3$

- Decompose $\Phi_i = Z_i + Y_i$ as $d = 2$

$$\mathcal{L}Z_i = \xi_i, \quad Z_i \in C^{-\frac{1}{2}-}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}Y_i = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N & (Y_j^2 Y_i + Y_j^2 Z_i + 2Y_j Y_i Z_j \\ & + 2Y_j \underbrace{:Z_i Z_j:}_{C^{-1-}} + \underbrace{:Z_j^2:}_{C^{-1-}} Y_i + \underbrace{:Z_i Z_j^2:}_{C^{-\frac{3}{2}-}}), \end{aligned}$$

- Key point:** The red terms are not well defined even we do further decomposition!

Difficulty for $d = 3$

- Decompose $\Phi_i = Z_i + Y_i$ as $d = 2$

$$\mathcal{L}Z_i = \xi_i, \quad Z_i \in C^{-\frac{1}{2}-}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}Y_i = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N & (Y_j^2 Y_i + Y_j^2 Z_i + 2Y_j Y_i Z_j \\ & + 2Y_j \underbrace{:Z_i Z_j:}_{C^{-1-}} + \underbrace{:Z_j^2:}_{C^{-1-}} Y_i + \underbrace{:Z_i Z_j^2:}_{C^{-\frac{3}{2}-}}), \end{aligned}$$

- Key point:** The red terms are not well defined even we do further decomposition!
- Local well-posedness:** Regularity structure theory in [Hairer 14]/ Paracontrolled distribution method in [Gubinelli, Imkeller, Perkowski 15]

Difficulty for $d = 3$

- Decompose $\Phi_i = Z_i + Y_i$ as $d = 2$

$$\mathcal{L}Z_i = \xi_i, \quad Z_i \in C^{-\frac{1}{2}-}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}Y_i = & -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N (Y_j^2 Y_i + Y_j^2 Z_i + 2Y_j Y_i Z_j \\ & + 2Y_j \underbrace{Z_i Z_j}_{C^{-1-}} + \underbrace{Z_j^2}_{C^{-1-}} Y_i + \underbrace{Z_i Z_j^2}_{C^{-\frac{3}{2}-}}), \end{aligned}$$

- Key point:** The red terms are not well defined even we do further decomposition!
- Local well-posedness:** Regularity structure theory in [Hairer 14]/ Paracontrolled distribution method in [Gubinelli, Imkeller, Perkowski 15]
- This is not enough since the stopping time may depend on N

Limiting equation and convergence of the dynamics when $d = 2$

- The dynamical linear sigma model

$$\mathcal{L}\Phi_i = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N (\Phi_j^2 - \mathbf{E}[Z_i^2])\Phi_i + \xi_i, \quad \Phi_i(0) = \phi_i$$

Limiting equation and convergence of the dynamics when $d = 2$

- The dynamical linear sigma model

$$\mathcal{L}\Phi_i = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N (\Phi_j^2 - \mathbf{E}[Z_i^2])\Phi_i + \xi_i, \quad \Phi_i(0) = \phi_i$$

- The limiting equation

$$\mathcal{L}\Psi_i = -\mu\Psi_i + \xi_i, \quad \Psi_i(0) = \psi_i,$$

where $\mu = \mathbf{E}[\Psi_i^2 - Z_i^2] \in C^-$,

Limiting equation and convergence of the dynamics when $d = 2$

- The dynamical linear sigma model

$$\mathcal{L}\Phi_i = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N (\Phi_j^2 - \mathbf{E}[Z_i^2])\Phi_i + \xi_i, \quad \Phi_i(0) = \phi_i$$

- The limiting equation

$$\mathcal{L}\Psi_i = -\mu\Psi_i + \xi_i, \quad \Psi_i(0) = \psi_i,$$

where $\mu = \mathbf{E}[\Psi_i^2 - Z_i^2] \in C^-$, Distributional dependent SPDE

Limiting equation and convergence of the dynamics when $d = 2$

- The dynamical linear sigma model

$$\mathcal{L}\Phi_i = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N (\Phi_j^2 - \mathbf{E}[Z_i^2])\Phi_i + \xi_i, \quad \Phi_i(0) = \phi_i$$

- The limiting equation

$$\mathcal{L}\Psi_i = -\mu\Psi_i + \xi_i, \quad \Psi_i(0) = \psi_i,$$

where $\mu = \mathbf{E}[\Psi_i^2 - Z_i^2] \in C^-$, Distributional dependent SPDE

Theorem [Shen, Scott, Zhu, Z 20]

Suppose that $d = 2$ and (ψ_i, ψ_j) are independent and have the same law and for $p > 1$ $\mathbf{E}\|\phi_i - \psi_i\|_{C^{-\kappa}}^p \rightarrow 0$, as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Limiting equation and convergence of the dynamics when $d = 2$

- The dynamical linear sigma model

$$\mathcal{L}\Phi_i = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N (\Phi_j^2 - \mathbf{E}[Z_i^2])\Phi_i + \xi_i, \quad \Phi_i(0) = \phi_i$$

- The limiting equation

$$\mathcal{L}\Psi_i = -\mu\Psi_i + \xi_i, \quad \Psi_i(0) = \psi_i,$$

where $\mu = \mathbf{E}[\Psi_i^2 - Z_i^2] \in C^-$, Distributional dependent SPDE

Theorem [Shen, Scott, Zhu, Z 20]

Suppose that $d = 2$ and (ψ_i, ψ_j) are independent and have the same law and for $p > 1$ $\mathbf{E}\|\phi_i - \psi_i\|_{C^{-\kappa}}^p \rightarrow 0$, as $N \rightarrow \infty$. It holds that for $t > 0$, $\mathbf{E}\|\Phi_i(t) - \Psi_i(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \rightarrow 0$

Limiting equation and convergence of the dynamics when $d = 2$

- The dynamical linear sigma model

$$\mathcal{L}\Phi_i = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N (\Phi_j^2 - \mathbf{E}[Z_i^2])\Phi_i + \xi_i, \quad \Phi_i(0) = \phi_i$$

- The limiting equation

$$\mathcal{L}\Psi_i = -\mu\Psi_i + \xi_i, \quad \Psi_i(0) = \psi_i,$$

where $\mu = \mathbf{E}[\Psi_i^2 - Z_i^2] \in C^-$, Distributional dependent SPDE

Theorem [Shen, Scott, Zhu, Z 20]

Suppose that $d = 2$ and (ψ_i, ψ_j) are independent and have the same law and for $p > 1$ $\mathbf{E}\|\phi_i - \psi_i\|_{C^{-\kappa}}^p \rightarrow 0$, as $N \rightarrow \infty$. It holds that for $t > 0$, $\mathbf{E}\|\Phi_i(t) - \Psi_i(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \rightarrow 0$ and $\|\Phi_i - \Psi_i\|_{C_T C^{-1}} \rightarrow^P 0$, as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Limiting equation and convergence of the dynamics when $d = 2$

- The dynamical linear sigma model

$$\mathcal{L}\Phi_i = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N (\Phi_j^2 - \mathbf{E}[Z_i^2])\Phi_i + \xi_i, \quad \Phi_i(0) = \phi_i$$

- The limiting equation

$$\mathcal{L}\Psi_i = -\mu\Psi_i + \xi_i, \quad \Psi_i(0) = \psi_i,$$

where $\mu = \mathbf{E}[\Psi_i^2 - Z_i^2] \in C^-$, Distributional dependent SPDE

Theorem [Shen, Scott, Zhu, Z 20]

Suppose that $d = 2$ and (ψ_i, ψ_j) are independent and have the same law and for $p > 1$ $\mathbf{E}\|\phi_i - \psi_i\|_{C^{-\kappa}}^p \rightarrow 0$, as $N \rightarrow \infty$. It holds that for $t > 0$, $\mathbf{E}\|\Phi_i(t) - \Psi_i(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \rightarrow 0$ and $\|\Phi_i - \Psi_i\|_{C_T C^{-1}} \rightarrow^P 0$, as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

- Mean field limit/ Propagation of chaos

Idea of Proof: Uniform bounds

$$\Phi_i = Z_i + Y_i, \Psi_i = Z_i + X_i$$

$$\mathcal{L}Z_i = \xi_i,$$

$$\mathcal{L}Y_i = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N (Y_j^2 Y_i + Y_j^2 Z_i + 2Y_j Z_j Y_i + 2Y_j : Z_j Z_i : + : Z_j^2 : Y_i + : Z_i Z_j^2 :),$$

$$\mathcal{L}X_i = -(\mathbf{E}[X_j^2]X_i + \mathbf{E}[X_j^2]Z_i + 2\mathbf{E}[X_j Z_j]X_i + 2\mathbf{E}[X_j Z_j]Z_i),$$

where $\mu = \mathbf{E}[\Psi_i^2 - Z_i^2] = \mathbf{E}[X_i^2] + 2\mathbf{E}[X_i Z_i]$.

Idea of Proof: Uniform bounds

$$\Phi_i = Z_i + Y_i, \Psi_i = Z_i + X_i$$

$$\mathcal{L}Z_i = \xi_i,$$

$$\mathcal{L}Y_i = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N (Y_j^2 Y_i + Y_j^2 Z_i + 2Y_j Z_j Y_i + 2Y_j : Z_j Z_i : + : Z_j^2 : Y_i + : Z_i Z_j^2 :),$$

$$\mathcal{L}X_i = -(\mathbf{E}[X_j^2]X_i + \mathbf{E}[X_j^2]Z_i + 2\mathbf{E}[X_j Z_j]X_i + 2\mathbf{E}[X_j Z_j]Z_i),$$

where $\mu = \mathbf{E}[\Psi_i^2 - Z_i^2] = \mathbf{E}[X_i^2] + 2\mathbf{E}[X_i Z_i]$. $Z_i \in C^-$, $X_i, Y_i \in C^{2-}$

Idea of Proof: Uniform bounds

$$\Phi_i = Z_i + Y_i, \Psi_i = Z_i + X_i$$

$$\mathcal{L}Z_i = \xi_i,$$

$$\mathcal{L}Y_i = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N (Y_j^2 Y_i + Y_j^2 Z_i + 2Y_j Z_j Y_i + 2Y_j : Z_j Z_i : + : Z_j^2 : Y_i + : Z_i Z_j^2 :),$$

$$\mathcal{L}X_i = -(\mathbf{E}[X_j^2] X_i + \mathbf{E}[X_j^2] Z_i + 2\mathbf{E}[X_j Z_j] X_i + 2\mathbf{E}[X_j Z_j] Z_i),$$

where $\mu = \mathbf{E}[\Psi_i^2 - Z_i^2] = \mathbf{E}[X_i^2] + 2\mathbf{E}[X_i Z_i]$. $Z_i \in C^-$, $X_i, Y_i \in C^{2-}$

Lemma 1

It holds that for $p \geq 2$

$$\frac{1}{N} \mathbf{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sum_{j=1}^N \|Y_j\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{E} \|\nabla Y_j\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2)}^2 + \mathbf{E} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N Y_i^2 \right\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2)}^2 \lesssim 1,$$

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbf{E} \|X_i\|_{L^p}^p + \mathbf{E} \|\nabla X_i\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2)}^2 + \|\mathbf{E} X_i^2\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2)}^2 \lesssim 1,$$

- dissipation weaker as $N \rightarrow \infty$

Idea of Proof: Uniform bounds

$$\Phi_i = Z_i + Y_i, \Psi_i = Z_i + X_i$$

$$\mathcal{L}Z_i = \xi_i,$$

$$\mathcal{L}Y_i = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N (Y_j^2 Y_i + Y_j^2 Z_i + 2Y_j Z_j Y_i + 2Y_j : Z_j Z_i : + : Z_j^2 : Y_i + : Z_i Z_j^2 :),$$

$$\mathcal{L}X_i = -(\mathbf{E}[X_j^2] X_i + \mathbf{E}[X_j^2] Z_i + 2\mathbf{E}[X_j Z_j] X_i + 2\mathbf{E}[X_j Z_j] Z_i),$$

where $\mu = \mathbf{E}[\Psi_i^2 - Z_i^2] = \mathbf{E}[X_i^2] + 2\mathbf{E}[X_i Z_i]$. $Z_i \in C^-$, $X_i, Y_i \in C^{2-}$

Lemma 1

It holds that for $p \geq 2$

$$\frac{1}{N} \mathbf{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sum_{j=1}^N \|Y_j\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{E} \|\nabla Y_j\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2)}^2 + \mathbf{E} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N Y_i^2 \right\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2)}^2 \lesssim 1,$$

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbf{E} \|X_i\|_{L^p}^p + \mathbf{E} \|\nabla X_i\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2)}^2 + \|\mathbf{E} X_i^2\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2)}^2 \lesssim 1,$$

- dissipation weaker as $N \rightarrow \infty$ / independence

Invariant measure to Limiting equation

- The limiting equation

$$(\partial_t - \Delta + m)\Psi_i = \mathcal{L}\Psi_i = -\mu\Psi_i + \xi_i,$$

where $\mu = \mathbf{E}[\Psi_i^2 - Z_i^2]$, $d = 2$; $\mu = \mathbf{E}[\Psi_i^2]$, $d = 1$;

Invariant measure to Limiting equation

- The limiting equation

$$(\partial_t - \Delta + m)\Psi_i = \mathcal{L}\Psi_i = -\mu\Psi_i + \xi_i,$$

where $\mu = \mathbf{E}[\Psi_i^2 - Z_i^2]$, $d = 2$; $\mu = \mathbf{E}[\Psi_i^2]$, $d = 1$;

- Invariant measure: Gaussian free field

$$\mathcal{N}(0, (m - \Delta)^{-1}), d = 2, 3; \quad \mathcal{N}(0, (m + \mu_0 - \Delta)^{-1}), d = 1,$$

$\mu_0 > 0$.

Invariant measure to Limiting equation

- The limiting equation

$$(\partial_t - \Delta + m)\Psi_i = \mathcal{L}\Psi_i = -\mu\Psi_i + \xi_i,$$

where $\mu = \mathbf{E}[\Psi_i^2 - Z_i^2]$, $d = 2$; $\mu = \mathbf{E}[\Psi_i^2]$, $d = 1$;

- Invariant measure: Gaussian free field

$$\mathcal{N}(0, (m - \Delta)^{-1}), d = 2, 3; \quad \mathcal{N}(0, (m + \mu_0 - \Delta)^{-1}), d = 1,$$

$\mu_0 > 0$.

- $$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \left(\frac{1}{|k|^2 + \mu + m} - \frac{1}{|k|^2 + m} \right) = \mu \quad \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{k^2 + \mu + m} = \mu.$$

Invariant measure to Limiting equation

- The limiting equation

$$(\partial_t - \Delta + m)\Psi_i = \mathcal{L}\Psi_i = -\mu\Psi_i + \xi_i,$$

where $\mu = \mathbf{E}[\Psi_i^2 - Z_i^2]$, $d = 2$; $\mu = \mathbf{E}[\Psi_i^2]$, $d = 1$;

- Invariant measure: Gaussian free field

$$\mathcal{N}(0, (m - \Delta)^{-1}), d = 2, 3; \quad \mathcal{N}(0, (m + \mu_0 - \Delta)^{-1}), d = 1,$$

$\mu_0 > 0$.

-

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \left(\frac{1}{|k|^2 + \mu + m} - \frac{1}{|k|^2 + m} \right) = \mu \quad \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{k^2 + \mu + m} = \mu.$$

Theorem [Shen, Scott, Zhu, Z. 20]

For $d = 1, 2$, there exists $m_0 > 0$ such that: for $m \geq m_0$, the Gaussian free field $\mathcal{N}(0, (m - \Delta)^{-1})$ is the unique invariant measure to Ψ .

Invariant measure to Limiting equation

- The limiting equation

$$(\partial_t - \Delta + m)\Psi_i = \mathcal{L}\Psi_i = -\mu\Psi_i + \xi_i,$$

where $\mu = \mathbf{E}[\Psi_i^2 - Z_i^2]$, $d = 2$; $\mu = \mathbf{E}[\Psi_i^2]$, $d = 1$;

- Invariant measure: Gaussian free field

$$\mathcal{N}(0, (m - \Delta)^{-1}), d = 2, 3; \quad \mathcal{N}(0, (m + \mu_0 - \Delta)^{-1}), d = 1,$$

$$\mu_0 > 0.$$

-

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \left(\frac{1}{|k|^2 + \mu + m} - \frac{1}{|k|^2 + m} \right) = \mu \quad \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{k^2 + \mu + m} = \mu.$$

Theorem [Shen, Scott, Zhu, Z. 20]

For $d = 1, 2$, there exists $m_0 > 0$ such that: for $m \geq m_0$, the Gaussian free field $\mathcal{N}(0, (m - \Delta)^{-1})$ is the unique invariant measure to Ψ .

- Difficulty: Nonlinear Markov semigroup;

Invariant measure to Limiting equation

- The limiting equation

$$(\partial_t - \Delta + m)\Psi_i = \mathcal{L}\Psi_i = -\mu\Psi_i + \xi_i,$$

where $\mu = \mathbf{E}[\Psi_i^2 - Z_i^2]$, $d = 2$; $\mu = \mathbf{E}[\Psi_i^2]$, $d = 1$;

- Invariant measure: Gaussian free field

$$\mathcal{N}(0, (m - \Delta)^{-1}), d = 2, 3; \quad \mathcal{N}(0, (m + \mu_0 - \Delta)^{-1}), d = 1,$$

$\mu_0 > 0$.

-

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \left(\frac{1}{|k|^2 + \mu + m} - \frac{1}{|k|^2 + m} \right) = \mu \quad \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{k^2 + \mu + m} = \mu.$$

Theorem [Shen, Scott, Zhu, Z. 20]

For $d = 1, 2$, there exists $m_0 > 0$ such that: for $m \geq m_0$, the Gaussian free field $\mathcal{N}(0, (m - \Delta)^{-1})$ is the unique invariant measure to Ψ .

- Difficulty: Nonlinear Markov semigroup; no general theory;

Invariant measure to Limiting equation

- The limiting equation

$$(\partial_t - \Delta + m)\Psi_i = \mathcal{L}\Psi_i = -\mu\Psi_i + \xi_i,$$

where $\mu = \mathbf{E}[\Psi_i^2 - Z_i^2]$, $d = 2$; $\mu = \mathbf{E}[\Psi_i^2]$, $d = 1$;

- Invariant measure: Gaussian free field

$$\mathcal{N}(0, (m - \Delta)^{-1}), d = 2, 3; \quad \mathcal{N}(0, (m + \mu_0 - \Delta)^{-1}), d = 1,$$

$\mu_0 > 0$.

-

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \left(\frac{1}{|k|^2 + \mu + m} - \frac{1}{|k|^2 + m} \right) = \mu \quad \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{k^2 + \mu + m} = \mu.$$

Theorem [Shen, Scott, Zhu, Z. 20]

For $d = 1, 2$, there exists $m_0 > 0$ such that: for $m \geq m_0$, the Gaussian free field $\mathcal{N}(0, (m - \Delta)^{-1})$ is the unique invariant measure to Ψ .

- Difficulty: Nonlinear Markov semigroup; no general theory;
- Idea: solutions converges to each other as time goes to infinity.

Convergence of invariant measure (field)

- $O(N)$ linear sigma model:

$$\nu^N = \frac{1}{C_N} \exp \left(-2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N |\nabla \Phi_j|^2 + \frac{m}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_j^2 + \frac{1}{4N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_j^2 \right)^2 dx \right) \mathcal{D}\Phi,$$

- ν : Gaussian free field

Convergence of invariant measure (field)

- $O(N)$ linear sigma model:

$$\nu^N = \frac{1}{C_N} \exp \left(-2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N |\nabla \Phi_j|^2 + \frac{m}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_j^2 + \frac{1}{4N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_j^2 \right)^2 dx \right) \mathcal{D}\Phi,$$

- ν : Gaussian free field

Theorem [Shen, Scott, Zhu, Z. 20/ Shen, Zhu, Z. 21]

For $d = 2, 3$

- $\nu^{N,i}$ form a tight set of probability measures on $C^{-\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}$ for $\kappa > 0$.

Convergence of invariant measure (field)

- $O(N)$ linear sigma model:

$$\nu^N = \frac{1}{C_N} \exp \left(-2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N |\nabla \Phi_j|^2 + \frac{m}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_j^2 + \frac{1}{4N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_j^2 \right)^2 dx \right) \mathcal{D}\Phi,$$

- ν : Gaussian free field

Theorem [Shen, Scott, Zhu, Z. 20/ Shen, Zhu, Z. 21]

For $d = 2, 3$

- $\nu^{N,i}$ form a tight set of probability measures on $C^{-\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}$ for $\kappa > 0$.
- For $m \geq m_0$, $\nu^{N,i}$ converges to ν ;

Convergence of invariant measure (field)

- $O(N)$ linear sigma model:

$$\nu^N = \frac{1}{C_N} \exp \left(-2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N |\nabla \Phi_j|^2 + \frac{m}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_j^2 + \frac{1}{4N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_j^2 \right)^2 dx \right) \mathcal{D}\Phi,$$

- ν : Gaussian free field

Theorem [Shen, Scott, Zhu, Z. 20/ Shen, Zhu, Z. 21]

For $d = 2, 3$

- $\nu^{N,i}$ form a tight set of probability measures on $C^{-\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}$ for $\kappa > 0$.
- For $m \geq m_0$, $\nu^{N,i}$ converges to ν ; and ν_k^N converges to $\nu \times \cdots \times \nu$, as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Convergence of invariant measure (field)

- $O(N)$ linear sigma model:

$$\nu^N = \frac{1}{C_N} \exp \left(-2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N |\nabla \Phi_j|^2 + \frac{m}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_j^2 + \frac{1}{4N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_j^2 \right)^2 dx \right) \mathcal{D}\Phi,$$

- ν : Gaussian free field

Theorem [Shen, Scott, Zhu, Z. 20/ Shen, Zhu, Z. 21]

For $d = 2, 3$

- $\nu^{N,i}$ form a tight set of probability measures on $C^{-\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}$ for $\kappa > 0$.
- For $m \geq m_0$, $\nu^{N,i}$ converges to ν ; and ν_k^N converges to $\nu \times \cdots \times \nu$, as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, $\mathbb{W}_2(\nu^{N,i}, \nu) \lesssim N^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

Convergence of invariant measure (field)

- $O(N)$ linear sigma model:

$$\nu^N = \frac{1}{C_N} \exp \left(-2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N |\nabla \Phi_j|^2 + \frac{m}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_j^2 + \frac{1}{4N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_j^2 \right)^2 dx \right) \mathcal{D}\Phi,$$

- ν : Gaussian free field

Theorem [Shen, Scott, Zhu, Z. 20/ Shen, Zhu, Z. 21]

For $d = 2, 3$

- $\nu^{N,i}$ form a tight set of probability measures on $C^{-\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}$ for $\kappa > 0$.
- For $m \geq m_0$, $\nu^{N,i}$ converges to ν ; and ν_k^N converges to $\nu \times \cdots \times \nu$, as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, $\mathbb{W}_2(\nu^{N,i}, \nu) \lesssim N^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

- Difficulty: don't know each component of the tight limit is independent;

Convergence of invariant measure (field)

- $O(N)$ linear sigma model:

$$\nu^N = \frac{1}{C_N} \exp \left(-2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N |\nabla \Phi_j|^2 + \frac{m}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_j^2 + \frac{1}{4N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_j^2 \right)^2 dx \right) \mathcal{D}\Phi,$$

- ν : Gaussian free field

Theorem [Shen, Scott, Zhu, Z. 20/ Shen, Zhu, Z. 21]

For $d = 2, 3$

- $\nu^{N,i}$ form a tight set of probability measures on $C^{-\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}$ for $\kappa > 0$.
- For $m \geq m_0$, $\nu^{N,i}$ converges to ν ; and ν_k^N converges to $\nu \times \cdots \times \nu$, as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, $\mathbb{W}_2(\nu^{N,i}, \nu) \lesssim N^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.
- Difficulty: don't know each component of the tight limit is independent; Nonlinear Markov semigroup $P_t^* \nu_1 \neq \int P_t^* \delta_x \nu_1(dx)$;

Convergence of invariant measure (field)

- $O(N)$ linear sigma model:

$$\nu^N = \frac{1}{C_N} \exp \left(-2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N |\nabla \Phi_j|^2 + \frac{m}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_j^2 + \frac{1}{4N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_j^2 \right)^2 dx \right) \mathcal{D}\Phi,$$

- ν : Gaussian free field

Theorem [Shen, Scott, Zhu, Z. 20/ Shen, Zhu, Z. 21]

For $d = 2, 3$

- $\nu^{N,i}$ form a tight set of probability measures on $C^{-\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}$ for $\kappa > 0$.
- For $m \geq m_0$, $\nu^{N,i}$ converges to ν ; and ν_k^N converges to $\nu \times \cdots \times \nu$, as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, $\mathbb{W}_2(\nu^{N,i}, \nu) \lesssim N^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.
- Difficulty: don't know each component of the tight limit is independent; Nonlinear Markov semigroup $P_t^* \nu_1 \neq \int P_t^* \delta_x \nu_1(dx)$; not easy to control the nonlinear term as time goes to infinity

Idea of proof: $\mathbb{W}_2(\nu^{N,i}, \nu) \lesssim N^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $d = 3$

Idea of proof: $\mathbb{W}_2(\nu^{N,i}, \nu) \lesssim N^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $d = 3$

- a coupling of $\nu^{N,i}, \nu \Rightarrow$ take stationary solutions (Φ_i, Z_i)

Idea of proof: $\mathbb{W}_2(\nu^{N,i}, \nu) \lesssim N^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $d = 3$

- a coupling of $\nu^{N,i}, \nu \Rightarrow$ take stationary solutions (Φ_i, Z_i)
-

$$(\partial_t - \Delta + m)Y_i = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N (2Y_j : Z_i Z_j : + : Z_j^2 : Y_i + \dots),$$

Idea of proof: $\mathbb{W}_2(\nu^{N,i}, \nu) \lesssim N^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $d = 3$

- a coupling of $\nu^{N,i}, \nu \Rightarrow$ take stationary solutions (Φ_i, Z_i)

-

$$(\partial_t - \Delta + m)Y_i = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N (2Y_j : Z_i Z_j : + : Z_j^2 : Y_i + \dots),$$

- Cancellation from paraproducts [Gubinelli, Hofmanova 18]

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \left[\langle (\Delta - m)Y_i, Y_i \rangle - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \langle 2Y_j \prec : Z_i Z_j : + Y_i \prec : Z_j^2 : , Y_i \rangle \right]$$

Idea of proof: $\mathbb{W}_2(\nu^{N,i}, \nu) \lesssim N^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $d = 3$

- a coupling of $\nu^{N,i}, \nu \Rightarrow$ take stationary solutions (Φ_i, Z_i)

$$(\partial_t - \Delta + m)Y_i = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N (2Y_j : Z_i Z_j : + : Z_j^2 : Y_i + \dots),$$

- Cancellation from paraproducts [Gubinelli, Hofmanova 18]

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \left[\langle (\Delta - m)Y_i, Y_i \rangle - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \langle 2Y_j \prec : Z_i Z_j : + Y_i \prec : Z_j^2 : , Y_i \rangle \right]$$

\Rightarrow

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \|Y_j(T)\|_{L^2}^2 \right) + \frac{m}{2} \mathbf{E} \sum_{j=1}^N \|Y_j(T)\|_{L_T^2 L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N Y_i^2 \right\|_{L_T^2 L^2}^2 \\ & \leq \mathbf{E} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \|Y_j(0)\|_{L^2}^2 \right) + C \mathbf{E} \int_0^T \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \|Y_i\|_{L^2}^2 \right) R_N ds + C. \end{aligned}$$

Idea of proof: $\mathbb{W}_2(\nu^{N,i}, \nu) \lesssim N^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $d = 3$

- a coupling of $\nu^{N,i}, \nu \Rightarrow$ take stationary solutions (Φ_i, Z_i)

$$(\partial_t - \Delta + m)Y_i = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N (2Y_j \cdot Z_i Z_j + Y_i \cdot Z_j^2 + \dots),$$

- Cancellation from paraproducts [Gubinelli, Hofmanova 18]

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \left[\langle (\Delta - m)Y_i, Y_i \rangle - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \langle 2Y_j \cdot Z_i Z_j + Y_i \cdot Z_j^2, Y_i \rangle \right]$$

\Rightarrow

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \|Y_j(T)\|_{L^2}^2 \right) + \frac{m}{2} \mathbf{E} \sum_{j=1}^N \|Y_j(T)\|_{L_T^2 L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N Y_i^2 \right\|_{L_T^2 L^2}^2 \\ & \leq \mathbf{E} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \|Y_j(0)\|_{L^2}^2 \right) + C \mathbf{E} \int_0^T \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \|Y_i\|_{L^2}^2 \right) R_N ds + C. \end{aligned}$$

$$R_N = R_N - \mathbf{E}[R_N] + \mathbf{E}[R_N]$$

Observables

Observables

Theorem [Shen, Scott, Zhu, Z. 20/ Shen, Zhu, Z. 21]

Suppose that $\Phi \preceq \nu^N$. For $\kappa > 0$, m large enough, the following result holds:

- $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^N : \Phi_i^2 :$ is tight in $B_{2,2}^{-2\kappa}$ for $d = 2$ / $B_{1,1}^{-1-\kappa}$ for $d = 3$
- $\frac{1}{N} : (\sum_{i=1}^N \Phi_i^2)^2 :$ is tight in $B_{1,1}^{-3\kappa}$ for $d = 2$
- For $d = 1, 2$,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^N : \Phi_i^2 : \neq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^N : Z_i^2 :$$

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} : (\sum_{i=1}^N \Phi_i^2)^2 : \neq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} : (\sum_{i=1}^N Z_i^2)^2 :$$

Observables

Theorem [Shen, Scott, Zhu, Z. 20/ Shen, Zhu, Z. 21]

Suppose that $\Phi \preceq \nu^N$. For $\kappa > 0$, m large enough, the following result holds:

- $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^N : \Phi_i^2 :$ is tight in $B_{2,2}^{-2\kappa}$ for $d = 2$ / $B_{1,1}^{-1-\kappa}$ for $d = 3$
- $\frac{1}{N} : (\sum_{i=1}^N \Phi_i^2)^2 :$ is tight in $B_{1,1}^{-3\kappa}$ for $d = 2$
- For $d = 1, 2$,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^N : \Phi_i^2 : \neq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^N : Z_i^2 :$$

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} : \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \Phi_i^2 \right)^2 : \neq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} : \left(\sum_{i=1}^N Z_i^2 \right)^2 :$$

- Idea: Improved moment estimate for stationary case by independence

$$\mathbf{E} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \|Y_i\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^q \right] + \mathbf{E} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \|Y_i\|_{L^2}^2 + 1 \right)^q \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \|\nabla Y_i\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \right] \lesssim 1.$$

Observables

Theorem [Shen, Scott, Zhu, Z. 20/ Shen, Zhu, Z. 21]

Suppose that $\Phi \preceq \nu^N$. For $\kappa > 0$, m large enough, the following result holds:

- $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^N : \Phi_i^2 :$ is tight in $B_{2,2}^{-2\kappa}$ for $d = 2$ / $B_{1,1}^{-1-\kappa}$ for $d = 3$
- $\frac{1}{N} : (\sum_{i=1}^N \Phi_i^2)^2 :$ is tight in $B_{1,1}^{-3\kappa}$ for $d = 2$
- For $d = 1, 2$,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^N : \Phi_i^2 : \neq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^N : Z_i^2 :$$

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} : (\sum_{i=1}^N \Phi_i^2)^2 : \neq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} : (\sum_{i=1}^N Z_i^2)^2 :$$

- Idea: Improved moment estimate for stationary case by independence

$$\mathbf{E} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \|Y_i\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^q \right] + \mathbf{E} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \|Y_i\|_{L^2}^2 + 1 \right)^q \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \|\nabla Y_i\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \right] \lesssim 1.$$

- Integration by parts formula/ Dyson-Schwinger from [Kupiainen 80]

Further Problems

- Convergence of dynamics for $d = 3$ / Correlation of Observables for $d = 3$?

Further Problems

- Convergence of dynamics for $d = 3$ / Correlation of Observables for $d = 3$?
- how to drop $m \geq m_0$?

Further Problems

- Convergence of dynamics for $d = 3$ / Correlation of Observables for $d = 3$?
- how to drop $m \geq m_0$? General theory on distributional dependent singular SPDEs

Further Problems

- Convergence of dynamics for $d = 3$ / Correlation of Observables for $d = 3$?
- how to drop $m \geq m_0$? General theory on distributional dependent singular SPDEs
- Other models

Thank you !